



DOT&E Cybersecurity Procedures

10 April 2015

Walter Dodson, Kevin Eveker, Anil Joglekar, Sourav Mandal,
William Robbins, Phillip Webb, and Kevin Westburg
Shawn Whetstone, Project Leader



Background



- Cyber domain touches all military domains including land, sea, air, and space
- Cybersecurity presents a major potential threat to the DoD
 - "....a networked world -- a world in which oceans are crossed at the speed of light -- presents challenges to American security that our nation has never before confronted" - Defense Secretary Chuck Hagel (28 March 2014)
- A review of cybersecurity in operational testing over the past several years revealed a lack of data, mission effects, and adversarial cyber testing as required by the DOT&E guidance
 - DOT&E therefore developed the 1 August 2014 revised procedures for operational test and evaluation



Goal: Improve DoD Cybersecurity Posture IDA



- Results from threat-representative cyber testing during OT&E should be feeding into DoD processes in ways that spur action
 - Inform acquisitions decision makers with respect to program milestones
 - Improve DoD Defensive Posture through improved Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures
 - » Improve detection tools and training for cyber response
 - » Improve collaboration between local defenders and network service providers
 - Inform Chief Information Officer (CIO) if assessment finds sufficiently poor security posture to revoke Authority to Operate (ATO)
- The revised DOT&E procedures are desired for ensuring consistent, comprehensive, and threat representative cybersecurity OT&E for oversight programs
 - Be thorough, comprehensive, and consistent in reviewing and approving **TEMP and Test Plans**
 - Integrate cyber into the DOT&E assessment of system effectiveness
 - Provide education and training to IDA, DOT&E, OTA, and program office staff
 - » Provide seminars, tutorials, courses, etc.
 - » Organize periodic discussion group between OTAs, net defenders, and adversary teams



Procedures Summary



- New procedures supersede previously issued 2009 DOT&E guidance and two subsequent clarification memos
 - Rectifies insufficient data collection and adversarial cyber testing, and incomplete evaluation of mission effects
- Retains a two-phase approach:
 - Cooperative, comprehensive assessment to identify vulnerabilities
 - Threat-representative adversarial assessment focused on mission effects and cyber defender responses
- More specific direction on the minimum data OTAs should collect
 - Supports independent analysis by DOT&E
- DOT&E will use the results of the cybersecurity OT&E, in part, to determine system effectiveness, suitability, and survivability



Scope



- The procedures apply to all oversight programs that send or receive digital information, e.g.:
 - Direct connections to external networks
 - Connections to host platforms, including specialized connections or protocols
 - Wireless or radio frequency connections
 - Physical ports (e.g. USB), removable data cards
 - Mission planning systems
 - Specialized data buses (e.g. 1553)
 - Maintenance laptops and equipment
- Any systems with two-way data transfer capabilities to external networks must perform both phases of cybersecurity testing
- DOT&E will evaluate the level of test required for other systems on a case-by-case basis
 - Need information on system architecture with data paths and protocols



Scope (cont.)



- The need for threat-representative adversarial cyber testing is independent of any requirements for certification and accreditation
 - Receipt of an Authority to Operate (ATO) does not obviate the need for cybersecurity testing as part of OT&E
- Cyber defenders (local and upper echelons) should participate in OT&E to support detect, react, and restore data collection
 - Testers can prompt restore activities if no detection occurs
- Programs processing data above the secret level should follow DOT&E procedures to the extent possible



Phase 1: Cooperative Vulnerability and Penetration Assessment



- Comprehensive assessment to identify all vulnerabilities in the operational context
- Cooperative with all stakeholders, including program office, system administrators, and developers
- Should be conducted in an operationally representative way to the extent possible – introducing the system into the operational environment often adds vulnerabilities:
 - Unprotected data paths to networks and other systems
 - Misconfigured cyber defense systems
 - Inadequate physical security
 - Deficient operator Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures
- The operational environment introduces defensive capabilities as well for many systems, providing an early look at their effectiveness



Phase 2: Adversarial Assessment



- Non-cooperative assessment of system performance conducted by the OTA in the presence of a threatrepresentative cyber adversary
- An NSA-certified adversarial team must portray the threat
 - Must be accredited by USSTRATCOM to operate noncooperatively on live networks, as per CJCSM 6510.03, 28 February 2013
 - Certification also assures minimum competency
- Threat portrayal should be representative and system-specific
 - Testing should permit sufficient time for adversarial activities, including reconnaissance
 - Adversarial team should be permitted to execute nondestructive cyber attacks
 - Adversarial activities should exhibit the same range of capability that the threat would, up to and including system-specific exploits and attacks through enterprise assets



Phase 2: Adversarial Assessment (cont.)



- Compared to the prior phase, focus is on mission accomplishment, not comprehensiveness
 - Once the adversarial team finds an entry point, they will attempt to induce mission effects instead of looking for more vulnerabilities
- The OTA will need to collect data on adversarial team activities, cyber defense activities, and mission effects
 - System operators should be conducting representative missions
 - Cyber defenders (local and upper echelons) should participate in OT to support Detect, React, and Restore data collection



Timing and Data Sharing



- The timing of the two phases will vary by program, but should occur sequentially in the context of planned operational test events
- Programs are encouraged to schedule time between phases to fix vulnerabilities that are discovered in Phase 1
- A real cyber adversary will spend significant time doing reconnaissance on system under test prior to attack
 - The adversarial team should use data as necessary from Phase 1 to replace this long-term reconnaissance, or to ensure that all critical vulnerabilities are examined



Mandatory Minimum Data Elements Attachments A, B, and C of DOT&E Memo



- Revised DOT&E guidance lists minimum data sets to be collected for both phases of cybersecurity OT
- Cooperative Vulnerability and Penetration Assessment:
 - Selected compliance baseline metrics
 - Cyber vulnerabilities with DISA severity codes
 - Penetration/exploitation techniques
- Adversarial Assessment:
 - Adversarial activities
 - Times to detect
 - Defense activities
 - System restoration activities
 - Mission effects



Mission Effects



- Mission effects parameters will be system specific, but should be quantitative measures of system effectiveness
- Should include performance parameters already being used to assess effectiveness
- Where direct measurement not possible, OTAs should describe a strategy using Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) to connect exploitations to mission effects
 - Explain what SMEs the OTA will use and how they will be used
 - Estimate reduction (minor, major, severe) in measures of effectiveness



How to Evaluate Cybersecurity OT&E



Understand the system and its cyber operational environment

- System architecture, typical users, and missions
- What the cyber threat can and might do
- What cyber defenders can and should do
- Tools used by cyber defenders

Understand the test

- Insider and outsider threat portrayal
- How cyber defense performance and mission effects will be evaluated
 - » Cooperative assessments: putative impacts
 - » Adversarial assessments: direct measurement (extent possible)
- Test design and limitations
- Data to be collected to support evaluation

During the test

- Is the test design being followed? New or unforeseen limitations?
 - » New system components discovered on-site?
 - » System components unexpectedly placed off-limits?
 - » Adversarial assessments: cyber defenders and adversarial team playing realistically?
- Are the required data being collected for Phase 1 or Phase 2?
 - » Including minimum data in DOT&E guidance



Summary



- Revised DOT&E procedures retain the same, basic twophased approach to cybersecurity testing in OT&E as previous guidance
- Applies to all oversight programs that send or receive digital information, including through physical means
- Greater specificity with respect to:
 - Cooperative vulnerability assessment and penetration testing phase activities
 - Adversarial testing phase activities
 - Minimum data to be collected in both phases
- Emphasis on mission effects in the adversarial phase, either through direct demonstration or through a well-thought-out analytical exercise
- Measurement of cyber defender responses in adversarial phase